

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandria, Virginis 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/747,924		12/27/2000	John S. Clapp III	3731-114P	3478
30595	7590	05/07/2003			
		Y & PIERCE, I	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX RESTON,	8910 VA 20195			NGUYEN, DANNY	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2836	
				DATE MAU ED. 05/07/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	,	Application No.	ှာplicant(s)
4		09/747,924	CLAPP ET AL.
<u>.</u>	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Danny Nguyen	2836
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicat r Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address
Failur - Any r	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above, the maximum statuto e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, eply received by the Office later than three months after to patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ation. ation. ation, a reply within the statutory minimum of thin the statutory minimum of thin the statutory minimum of thin the statutory minimum of the beautiful and will expire SIX (6) MOI by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. 8 133)
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>12 February 2003</u> .	
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)		
3)□ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice on of Claims	r allowance except for formal ma under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	atters, prosecution as to the merits is D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the app	lication.	
4	la) Of the above claim(s) is/are w	vithdrawn from consideration.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.		
7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>6-20</u> is/are objected to.		
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction on Papers	and/or election requirement.	
9)□ T	he specification is objected to by the Ex	caminer.	
10)⊠ T	he drawing(s) filed on 12 February 2003	3 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ obj	ected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection		
11)[T	he proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b) d	lisapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are require	ed in reply to this Office action.	
12)[T	he oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner.	
riority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) 🗌 .	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)[] All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been received.	
:	2. Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been received in A	pplication No
	B. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation et the attached detailed Office action for	nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_
	knowledgment is made of a claim for do	<u>'</u>	
	☐ The translation of the foreign langua		
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for d		
		,, — , , , , ,	O (DTO 440) B
) 🔲 Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	148) 5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)
	demark Office		

Application/Control Number: 09/747,924

Art Unit: 2836

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The new drawings are accepted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the admitted prior art in view of Beene (U.S. Patent No. 6,108,183).

Regarding to claims 1-3, and 5,the admitted prior art discloses apparatus for limiting current comprises a circuit element (magneto-resistive circuit) to response to a bias current for generating an output voltage signal cross the circuit element; a first circuit (Q1) connected to one end of the circuit element for applying a bias current; and a second circuit (Q2) connected to the other end of the circuit element for setting the amplitude of output voltage signal (see admitted prior art, fig. 1). The admitted prior art does not disclose a third electrical circuit for limiting the current as claimed. Beene discloses that an electrical circuit limiter (10) connects the input signal (12) and output voltage (24) to limit the current to a predetermined level response to short circuit condition. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to combine a electrical circuit as taught by Beene to prevent excess current from short circuit to the circuitry.

Application/Control Number: 09/747,924 Page 3

Art Unit: 2836

Regarding to claim 4, the admitted prior art discloses that the output voltage across the magneto-resistive circuit comprises a differential voltage (Vrmr+ or Vrmr-).

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 6-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Claim 6 recites, inter alia, an apparatus for limiting current in a magneto-resistive circuit having a third signal amplifier circuit responsive to a signal corresponding to a current flowing between the third and fourth current control devices for applying an output signal to the control terminal of the second current device along with the output signal of the second signal amplifier for clamping the control terminal of the second current control to a voltage corresponding to the output signal of the third signal amplifier in the event a short circuit condition occurs in the circuit element.

The references of record do not teach or suggest the aforementioned limitation, nor would it be obvious to modify those references to include such limitation.

Claims 7-20 are objected because they depend on claim 6.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 02-12-2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Art Unit: 2836

Applicant argued that figure 1 is merely conventional nature. However, applicant indicates the figure 1 is designated as a prior art. Therefore, the previous office action is maintained.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Danny Nguyen whose telephone number is (703)-305-5988. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon to Fri 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Sircus can be reached on (703)-308-3119. The fax phone numbers

Art Unit: 2836

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)-872-9318 for regular communications and (703)-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)-308-0956.

DM

May 5, 2003